

Schroader, Kathy



From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2015 8:37 AM
To: Euler, Gordon, Alvarez, Jose, Anderson, Colete
Cc: Schroader, Kathy
Subject: FW Ignoring directives from the Councilors

FYI and for the record.

From: susan rasmussen [mailto:sprazz@outlook.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2015 7:03 AM
To: Orjiako, Oliver; Carol Levanen
Cc: Stewart, Jeanne; Mielke, Tom; Madore, David; McCauley, Mark; DONALD MCISAAC
Subject: Re: Ignoring directives from the Councilors

Dear Oliver and Carol,

We are at odds over interpretation of the GMA here. Without doubt, the GMA is distinctly clear that local discretion should be advanced, and the policies set down by the policy makers, (the elected officials) need to be recognized, honored and implemented. In this case, staff has been disregarding what the policy makers have clearly stated.

Please be so kind as to recall the work session with the Clark County Board of Councilors and the planning staff held mid July. Oliver, you weren't in attendance but you still should access the recording of the minutes to listen to the tone of this particular work session. Gordy Euler presented the two reports. One was the Growing Healthier Report, and the other was the report on aging. His obvious intent was to include them in the 2016 comprehensive plan update.

However, the Board of County Councilors had a different opinion on these reports and unanimously agreed for them to be excluded from this comprehensive plan update.

Despite the clear directives from the policy makers, the Clark County Board of Councilors, the reports remain listed on the Community Development site as "Resource Documents" for the 2016 update. Most disturbing is the fact that planning staff have disregarded the directives of the Councilors. This is the prime issue of concern.

Thank you for your attention,
Susan Rasmussen for
Clark County Citizens United, Inc

Sent from Windows Mail

From: Carol Levanen
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2015 8:55 PM
To: Orjiako, Oliver

Hello Oliver, Thanks for the info. What I was concerned about was the healthy food language that is proposed for the Comprehensive Plan. I don't believe it is a mandate of the GMA and I don't believe it should be used as a means to lock up rural and resource lands into large lot zoning. I did find a WAC that I think we did discuss, but it simply says may consider, and there is no directive to that.

passage We are very alarmed that the Health Department has been incorporated into the comp plan in such a way as to attempt to accomplish an environmental and no growth agenda This is not planning, it is social engineering Please try not to do that in the 2016 update of the Plan Thanks!

Best Regards, Carol Levanen, Ex Secretary, CCCU, Inc

From: "Orjiako, Oliver" <Oliver.Orjiako@clark.wa.gov>
To: "Carol Levanen (cnidental@yahoo.com) (cnidental@yahoo.com)" <cnidental@yahoo.com>
Cc: "Euler, Gordon" <Gordon.Euler@clark.wa.gov>, "Alvarez, Jose" <Jose.Alvarez@clark.wa.gov>, "Schroader, Kathy" <Kathy.Schroader@clark.wa.gov>
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2015 4:18 PM
Subject: RCW 36.70A.070

Hello Carol.

At the PC deliberation meeting we briefly talk about some language in the RCW 36.70A (GMA) and other new related changes. As you read RCW 36.70A.070 (1), you will find the following.
" ...Wherever possible, the land use element should consider utilizing urban planning approaches that promote physical activity"

Section RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(a)(vii) says "Pedestrian and bicycle component to include collaborative efforts to identify and designate planned improvements for pedestrian and bicycle facilities and corridors that address and encourage enhanced community access and promote healthy lifestyles".

Feel free to review ESSB 5186 and 2SHB 1565. If you have questions, please let me know

Best Regards,

Oliver

This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law.